Compressed Sensing (Day 3)

Sadashige Ishida & Raimundo Saona

June 9, 2020

Previously, we learned the concept of compressed sensing:

In a real situation, we want to know the information of a signal from measurements. Intuitively, we need all the measurements to obtain the signal. However, we can likely recover the signal from partial measurements via ℓ_0 or ℓ_1 minimization problems.

A fundamental question is:

When is a recovery possible?

Main theorem review

Our goal is to prove this probabilistic result.

Theorem 3.1 (Candes, Romberg, and Tao [1])

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a signal, and Ω be the random set, and M be an accuracy parameter. If f is supported on $T \subset \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ and,

$$\mathbb{E}(|\Omega|) \ge |T| \log N/\alpha(M), \tag{3.1}$$

then, f can be exactly recovered from Ω and $\hat{f}|_{\Omega}$ with probability at least $1 - O(N^{-M})$ as the unique minimizer of the ℓ_1 -problem,

$$\min_{g\in\mathbb{C}^N} \|g\|_1 := \sum_t |g(t)|, \quad \hat{g}|_{\Omega} = \hat{f}|_{\Omega}.$$
(3.2)

The dual problem

Last time, we learned a dual problem:

Theorem 3.2

Let $\Omega \subset \{0, ..., N-1\}$. For a signal $f \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with supp(f) = T. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_{T \to \Omega}$ is injective and there exists a polynomial P s.t.

$$P(t) = sign(f)(t) := f(t)/|f(t)|$$
 for $t \in T$, (3.3)

$$|P(t)| < 1$$
 for $t \notin T$, (3.4)

and

$$\hat{P}(\omega) = 0$$
 for $\omega \notin \Omega$. (3.5)

Then, there exists a unique minimizer of the ℓ_1 -problem, which equals to f.

Load map to the proof

We constructed a polynomial P as a candidate of the one stated in the theorem,

$$P := (i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}H)(i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H)^{-1}i^*\mathsf{sign}(f)$$
(3.6)

where $H: \mathbb{C}^T \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is defined by

$$Hf(t) := -\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{t' \in T: t' \neq t} e^{-i\omega(t-t')}$$
(3.7)

and $\imath:\mathbb{C}^{\,\mathcal{T}}\rightarrow\mathbb{C}^{N},\ \imath^{*}:\mathbb{C}^{N}\rightarrow\mathbb{C}^{\,\mathcal{T}}$ are the inclusion and the restriction.

Load map to the proof

We checked

$$P = \operatorname{sign}(f) \quad \text{on } T, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\hat{P} = 0$$
 on Ω^c , (3.9)

and that the invertiability of

$$i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{T}\to\Omega} \ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}\to\Omega}$$
(3.10)

implies the injectivity of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}\to\Omega}$.

Our task is now to verify

- 1 Invertiability of $i^*i \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H$
- 2 |P| < 1 on T^c

with probability at least $1 - O(N^{-M})$.

Today's contents

We prove 1 and some results for 2.

Matrix norms

Let *M* be a $n \times m$ complex valued matrix. We use the following norms.

Infinity norm

$$||M||_{\infty} := \max_{i} \sum_{j} |M_{i,j}|,$$
 (3.11)

 Operator norm
 $||M|| := \sup_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \|Mx\|_2,$ (3.12)

 Frobenius norm
 $||M||_F := \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |M_{i,j}|^2}.$ (3.13)

Note all matrix norms are equivalent. Namely, convergence in one norm means convergence in all the norms. The space of matrices equipped with these norms is complete (Banach).

•
$$||A + B||_{\infty} \le ||A||_{\infty} + ||B||_{\infty}$$

• $||AB||_{\infty} \le ||A||_{\infty} ||B||_{\infty}$
• $||A||_{\infty} = \sup_{||x||_{\infty} = 1} ||Ax||_{\infty}$

- $||A + B|| \le ||A|| + ||B||$
- $\|AB\| \le \|A\| \|B\|$
- $||A^*|| = ||A||$
- $||A^2|| = ||A||^2$ if A is self adjoint
- $\max_i |\lambda_i| \le ||A||$

Properties of $\|\cdot\|_F$

•
$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}(A^*A)}$$

- $||A + B||_F \le ||A||_F + ||B||_F$ (HW)
- $||AB||_F \le ||A||_F ||B||_F$ (HW)
- $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_F$
- $\|A\|_{\infty} \leq \#\operatorname{col}(A) \|A\|_{F}$

Properties of matrix norms

Let A be a self-adjoint matrix. Then

$$||A^2|| = ||A||^2$$
. (3.14)

Proof It is immediate that,

$$||A^2|| \le ||A|| \, ||A|| = ||A||^2.$$
 (3.15)

The other inequality is by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\|A\|^{2} = \sup_{\|x\|_{2}=1} \|Ax\|_{2}^{2} = \sup_{\|x\|_{2}=1} |\langle Ax, Ax \rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|_{2}=1} |\langle A^{*}Ax, x \rangle|$$
(3.16)
$$\leq \sup_{\|x\|_{2}=1} \|A^{*}Ax\|_{2} \|x\|_{2} = \|A^{2}\|.$$
(3.17)

For a matrix A,

$$\|A\| \le \|A\|_F \,. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof For any vector x, $||x||_2 = ||x||_F$ by definition. Therefore we have,

$$||A|| = \sup_{||x||_2=1} ||Ax||_2 = \sup_{||x||_F=1} ||Ax||_F \le \sup_{||x||_F=1} ||A||_F ||x||_F = ||A||_F.$$
(3.19)

Our strategy

To prove the invertiability of $i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H$, we show

$$\left|\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\iota^*H\right| < 1. \tag{3.20}$$

with a higher probability than we need. To see why, we know that

$$\max_{k} |\lambda_k| \le \|M\| \tag{3.21}$$

for any matrix M.¹ Then the eigenvalues of I - M is bounded by $1 - \max_k |\lambda_k|$ and $1 + \max_k |\lambda_k|$.

¹In fact the equality holds in our case since i^*H is self adjoint.

Moreover, we can explicitly find $(i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H)^{-1}$ as a Neumann series, which will be useful when we show the boundeddness of |P|.

Proposition 3.1 (The Neumann Series)

Let M be a square matrix. Then ||M|| < 1 implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^n$ converges and equals to $(I - M)^{-1}$.

Neumann Series

Proof We first show the existence of the limit. Due to the completeness of the space, it suffices to prove that the partial sum

$$S_n := \sum_{i=0}^n M^i \tag{3.22}$$

is a Cauchy series in $\|\cdot\|$. For k and I with k > I,

$$|S_k - S_l|| = \left\| \sum_{i=l+1}^k M^i \right\| \le \sum_{i=l+1}^k \|M^i\| \le \sum_{i=l+1}^k \|M\|^i$$
$$= \|M\|^{l+1} \frac{(1 - \|M\|^{k-l})}{1 - \|M\|} \to 0 \quad \text{as } l, k \to \infty.$$

Due to the equivalence of norms, this implies the convergence in max $\|\cdot\|$ norm i.e. all entries converge. Hence $S := \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n$ exists.

Neumann Series

We then show $S = (I - M)^{-1}$. First,

$$MS_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} M^i - I = S_{n+1} - I.$$
 (3.23)

From this, it follows

$$||MS - (S - I)|| = ||MS - MS_n + (S_{n+1} - I) - (S - I)||$$
(3.24)
$$\leq ||MS - MS_n|| + ||(S_{n+1} - I) - (S - I)||$$
(3.25)
$$\leq ||M|| ||S - S_n|| + ||S_n - S|| \to 0.$$
(3.26)

Hence, we obtained

$$I = S - MS = S(I - M).$$
 (3.27)

By doing the same with $S_n M$, we get I = (I - M)S.

We hereby denote $H_0 := i^* H$ for simplicity.

Remark

In order to prove the invertiability of $i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}H_0$ for a probability at least $1 - O(N^{-M})$, our goal is to show $||H_0|| < |\Omega|$ for an equally high probability.

Decomposition of events

We would like to attain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge |\Omega|\right) \le O(N^{-M}). \tag{3.28}$$

For this purpose, we consider a decomposition of events

$$\{\|H_0\| \ge |\Omega|\} = \{(1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \ge \|H_0\| \ge |\Omega|\}$$
(3.29)

$$\cup \{ \|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \ge |\Omega| \}$$
(3.30)

$$\cup \{ \|H_0\| \ge |\Omega| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \}$$
(3.31)

where

$$\epsilon_M := \frac{2M\log N}{\tau N} \tag{3.32}$$

Note $\tau N = \mathbb{E}(|\Omega|)$ since Ω is defined using Binominal distribution. Our goal is to see the probability of each event set is bounded to $O(N^{-M})$.

Regarding the first set, we note that

$$\{(1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \ge \|H_0\| \ge |\Omega|\} \subset \{(1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \ge |\Omega|\}$$
(3.33)

and we already obtained

$$\mathbb{P}\left((1-\epsilon_M)\tau N \ge |\Omega|\right) \le O(N^{-M}) \tag{3.34}$$

in the last lecture.

Regarding the second and the thrid set,

 $\{\|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N \ge |\Omega|\}, \quad \{\|H_0\| \ge |\Omega| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\}, \quad (3.35)$

their union is contained in

$$\{\|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\}.$$
 (3.36)

We aim to see the probability measure of this set is bounded by $O(N^{-M})$.

Load map to upper bound

To obtain an upper bound of $\mathbb{P}(||H_0|| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N)$, we concern $\mathbb{E}(\text{Tr}(H_0^{2n}))$. This is because

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge (1-\epsilon_M)\tau N\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\|^{2n} \ge ((1-\epsilon_M)(\tau N))^{2n}\right)$$
(3.37)

and it follows from the self-adjointness of H_0 that

$$\|H_0\|^{2n} = \|H_0^n\|^2 \le \|H_0^n\|_F^2 = \operatorname{Tr}(H_0^{n*}H_0^n) = \operatorname{Tr}(H_0^{2n}).$$
(3.38)

Then the Markov inequality asserts for any C > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n}) \ge C\right) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})\right)}{C}.$$
(3.39)

As such, we aim to establish a good bound of $\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Tr}(H_0^{2n}))$.

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

We use a result from random matrix theory.

Lemma 3.3

Set
$$c_{ au} := e \log((1- au)/ au)$$
 and $\phi := (1+\sqrt{5})/2$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})\right) \le n\phi^{2n}\max(a_n,b_n) \tag{3.40}$$

where

$$a_n = (2n-1)^{2n} c_{\tau}^{-2n-1} N |T|^{2n}, \quad b_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n! 2^n} \left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n |T|^{n+1}.$$
(3.41)

In a certain setting, this bound can be simplified so that it does not contain max. We now work on that.

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

Now we assume the following. From now on, the results require these assumptions.

Working assumptions

Let constants ϕ,γ be

$$\phi := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \gamma := \sqrt{\frac{2\phi^2}{1-\tau}},$$
 (3.42)

and variables $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha_M := \alpha(1 - \epsilon_M)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the time being, we assume T satisfies,

$$|T| \le \frac{\alpha_M^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\tau N}{n}.$$
(3.43)

Recall the upper bound of |T| was required in the statement of the main theorem. We will later specify α and n, which gives the necessary bound for |T|.

Probabilistic estimate of $\|H_0\|$

We further assume

Working assumptions

$$0 < \tau \le 0.44.$$
 (3.44)

Therefore, our proof requires this bound. This may look a bit odd since the main theorem allows arbitrary τ in (0,1]. This, however, works since if

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\right) < O(N^{-M}) \tag{3.45}$$

for $\tau =$ 0.44, it holds for $\tau \ge$ 0.44 as well.

We simplify the bound of a_n . The next lemma can be proved via a numerical consideration.

Lemma 3.4

With the above setting, we have the bound,

$$a_n := (2n-1)^{2n} c_{\tau}^{-2n-1} N |T|^{2n} \le 2^{2n+1} e^{-n} n^n \left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n |T|^n.$$
(3.46)

The next step is to establish a bound for $max(a_n, b_n)$.

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

For this purpose, we use an approximation.

Lemma 3.5 (The Stirling approximation)

As integer n goes to ∞ , it holds asymptotically

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n. \tag{3.47}$$

Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the bound

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n} \le n! \le e\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}$$
(3.48)

holds.

We note that

$$2.50 < \sqrt{2\pi} < \frac{n!}{\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} < e < 2.80.$$
 (3.49)

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

Recall b_n has a coefficient $\frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}$. Using the Striling approximation, we obtain

$$\frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \le \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{2.80\sqrt{2n} \left(\frac{2n}{e}\right)^{2n}}{2.50\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} = \sqrt{2} \frac{2.80}{2.50} 2^n e^{-n} n^n \le 2^{n+1} e^{-n} n^n.$$
(3.50)

Then the both of

$$a_n \le 2^{2n+1} e^{-n} n^n \left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n |T|^n \text{ and } b_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n! 2^n} \left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n |T|^{n+1}$$

are bounded by

$$2^{2n+1}e^{-n}n^n\left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n |T|^{n+1}.$$
(3.51)

We have now,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})\right) \le n\phi^{2n}\max(a_n, b_n) \tag{3.52}$$

$$\leq n\phi^{2n}2^{2n+1}e^{-n}n^n\left(\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}\right)^n N^n|T|^{n+1}$$
(3.53)

$$= 2e^{-n}\gamma^{2n}n^{n+1}(\tau N)^n |T|^{n+1}.$$
(3.54)

Summarizing the arguments, we have now the following result.

Theorem 3.6

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha_M := \alpha(1 - \epsilon_M)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \le 0.44$ and ϕ, γ as defined before. If T satisfies,

$$|T| \le \frac{\alpha_M^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\tau N}{n},\tag{3.55}$$

then it holds,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})\right) \le 2e^{-n}\gamma^{2n}n^{n+1}(\tau N)^n |T|^{n+1}.$$
(3.56)

Asymptotic estimate of $||H_0||$

The following corollary gives an asymptotic estimate of $\|H_0\|$.

Corollary 3.7

Suppose

$$|T| \le \frac{\tau N}{\log(\tau N)}.$$
(3.57)

Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|H_0\|\right) \le \gamma \sqrt{|T|\tau N \log |T|} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } |T| \to \infty$$
(3.58)

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| > (1+\epsilon)\gamma\sqrt{|T|\tau N \log |T|}\right) \to 0, \quad \text{ as } |T| \to \infty.$$
 (3.59)

Asymptotic estimate of $||H_0||$

Proof To gain a bound of $\mathbb{E}(||H_0||)$, we would like to leverage our estimate of $\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Tr}(H^{2n}))$. Using the self-adjointness of H_0 , we get for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|H_0\|^{2n} = \|H_0^n\|^2 \le \|H_0^n\|_F^2 = \operatorname{Tr}(H_0^{n*}H_0^n) = \operatorname{Tr}(H_0^{2n}).$$
(3.60)

We then set $n := \lceil \log |T| \rceil$ to get

$$e^{-n}n^n|T| \le \lceil \log |T| \rceil^n \tag{3.61}$$

where $\left\lceil \cdot \right\rceil$ is the ceil function. We thus obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\|H_0\| \right) = \left(\left(\mathbb{E} \left(\|H_0\| \right) \right)^{2n} \right)^{1/2n} \le \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\|H_0\|^{2n} \right) \right)^{1/2n} \le \left(\mathbb{E} (\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})) \right)^{1/2n} \\ \le \left(2n\gamma^{2n}e^{-n}n^n |T|^{n+1}(\tau N)^n \right)^{1/2n} \\ \le \left(2n \right)^{1/2n} \gamma \sqrt{|T|\tau N} \sqrt{\lceil \log |T| \rceil} \\ \le \gamma \sqrt{|T|\tau N} \sqrt{\log |T|} (1+o(1))$$
(3.64)

Asymptotic estimate of $||H_0||$

From these computations and the Markov inequality, we get for any $\boldsymbol{\epsilon},$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| > (1+\epsilon)\gamma\sqrt{|T|\tau N\log|T|}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\|^{2n} > (1+\epsilon)^{2n}\gamma^{2n}|T|^n(\tau N\log|T|)^n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\|H_0\|^{2n}\right)/(1+\epsilon)^{2n}\gamma^{2n}|T|^n(\tau N\log|T|)^n \\ &\leq \frac{(2n)^{1/2n}\gamma^{2n}|T|^n(\tau N\lceil\log|T|])^n}{(1+\epsilon)^{2n}\gamma^{2n}|T|^n(\tau N\log|T|)^n} \\ &= \frac{(2n)^{1/2n}}{(1+\epsilon)^n}\left(\frac{\lceil\log|T|\rceil}{(1+\epsilon)\log|T|}\right)^n \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

Let's return to the main goal. By the Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|H_{0}^{n}\right\|_{F} \geq \alpha_{M}^{n}(\tau N)^{n}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|H_{0}^{n}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{M}^{2n}(\tau N)^{2n}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\mathrm{Tr}(H_{0}^{2n})\right)}{\alpha_{M}^{2n}(\tau N)^{2n}}.$$
(3.65)

Applying the inequality (Theorem 3.6)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathsf{Tr}(H_0^{2n})\right) \le 2e^{-n}\gamma^{2n}n^{n+1}(\tau N)^n |T|^{n+1},$$
(3.66)

and the assumption $\frac{lpha_{M}^{2} au N}{\gamma^{2}n}\leq |\mathcal{T}|$, we have now

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0^n\|_F \ge \alpha_M^n(\tau N)^n\right) \le (2n)e^{-n}\left(\frac{n\gamma^2}{\alpha^2\tau N}\right)^n |T|^{n+1} \qquad (3.67)$$
$$\le 2e^{-n}\tau N\frac{\alpha_M^2}{\gamma^2}. \qquad (3.68)$$

Probabilistic estimate of $||H_0||$

Using the self adjointness of H_0 and $\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_F$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge \alpha_M \tau N\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\|^n \ge \alpha_M^n (\tau N)^n\right)$$
(3.69)

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0^n\| \ge \alpha_M^n(\tau N)^n\right) \tag{3.70}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0^n\|_F \geq \alpha_M^n(\tau N)^n\right) \tag{3.71}$$

$$\leq 2e^{-n}\tau N\frac{\alpha_{M}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \leq 2e^{-n}\tau N\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}.$$
 (3.72)

Finally, by defining $n := \lceil (M+1) \log N \rceil$, we have

$$\frac{2}{\gamma^2}e^{-n}\tau N \le \frac{2}{\gamma^2}\frac{\tau N}{N}N^{-M} \le \frac{2}{\gamma^2}N^{-M}.$$
(3.73)

Hence, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge \alpha_M \tau N\right) \le \frac{2}{\gamma^2} N^{-M}.$$
 (3.74)

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge |\Omega|\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(|\Omega| \le (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\|H_0\| \ge (1 - \epsilon_M)\tau N\right)$$
$$\le \left(\frac{2}{\gamma^2} + 1\right)N^{-M}.$$
(3.75)

Hence, we have proved $\mathbb{P}(||H_0|| < |\Omega|)$ at least probability $1 - O(N^{-M})$, namely $(i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H)$ is invertiable.

Invertiability of $i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H$

We have now established a conclusion.

Theorem 3.8

Let 0 < lpha < 1, $lpha_{\mathcal{M}} := lpha (1 - \epsilon_{\mathcal{M}})$, If T obeys,

$$|T| \le \frac{\alpha_M^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\tau N}{\lceil (M+1) \log N \rceil},$$
(3.76)

then $(i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H)$ is invertiable at least probability $1 - O(N^{-M})$.

At this point, this result holds for any α as far as T satisfies the above inequality. We will later specify α when guaranteeing |P| < 1 on T^c .

In the next lecture, we will prove

$$|P(t)| = \left| (i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}H)(i^*i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^*H)^{-1}i^*\operatorname{sign}(f)(t) \right| < 1$$
 (3.77)

on *T^c*.

Today, we will give an estimate as a warm-up.

Truncated Neumann series

For a square matrix M, we learned the Neumann series expression,

$$(I - M)^{-1} = M^0 + M^1 + M^2 + \cdots$$
 (3.78)

The below also holds for any n,

$$(I - M^n)^{-1}I = M^0 + M^n + M^{2n} + \cdots$$
 (3.79)

$$(I - M^{n})^{-1}M^{1} = M^{1} + M^{n+1} + M^{2n+1} + \cdots$$
(3.80)

$$(I - M^{n})^{-1}M^{n-1} = M^{n-1} + M^{2n-1} + M^{3n-1} + \cdots$$
(3.82)

By summing up 3.79 to 3.82, we have

÷

$$(I - M)^{-1} = (I - M^n)^{-1}(1 + M + M^2 + \dots + M^{n-1}).$$
 (3.83)

The reminder term R_n

Using this expression, we have

$$(i^{*}i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}i^{*}H)^{-1}$$
(3.84)
= $\left(i^{*}i - \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{n}}(i^{*}H)^{n}\right)^{-1}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{m}}(i^{*}H)^{m}$ (3.85)
= $\left(i^{*}i + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{n}}(i^{*}H)^{n}\right)^{m}\right)\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{m}}(i^{*}H)^{m}$ (3.86)
=: $(i^{*}i + R_{n})\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{|\Omega|^{m}}(i^{*}H)^{m}$ (3.87)

Today, we will estimate that R_n is small in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norm.

The reminder term R_n

We first evaluate R_n by the Frobenius norm. Suppose that

$$|i^*H||_F \le \alpha |\Omega| \tag{3.88}$$

with a high probability (which we will see in the next lecture). Then,

$$\|R_n\|_F = \left\|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{mn}} (i^*H)^{mn}\right\|_F \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{mn}} \|(i^*H)^{mn}\|_F$$
$$\le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{mn}} \|i^*H\|_F^{mn}$$
$$\le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{mn}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha^n}.$$

Inequality between $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{F}$

Finally, we use a relation

$$\|M\|_{\infty} \le \|M\|_F \sqrt{\#col(M)}$$
 (3.89)

for any matrix *M*. **Proof:**

$$\|M\|_{\infty} := \max_{i} \sum_{j} |M_{i,j}|$$
(3.90)

$$= \max_{i} (|M_{i,1}|, \cdots, |M_{i,\#col(M)}|) \cdot (1, \dots, 1)$$
(3.91)

$$\leq \max_{i} \| (|M_{i,1}|, \cdots, |M_{i,\#col(M)}|)\| \cdot \| (1, \dots, 1)\|$$
(3.92)

$$= \max_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j} |M_{i,j}|^2} \sqrt{\# \text{col}(M)}$$
(3.93)

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |M_{i,j}|^2} \sqrt{\#\operatorname{col}(M)}$$
(3.94)

$$=: \|M\|_{F} \sqrt{\# \operatorname{col}(M)}. \tag{3.95}_{42/44}$$

We have now,

$$\|R_n\|_{\infty} \le \|R_n\|_F \sqrt{\#\operatorname{col}(R_n)} \le \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha^n} \sqrt{|T|}, \qquad (3.96)$$

which approaches to 0 as *n* increases since $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Today, we showed:

- With probability at least $1 O(N^{-M})$, $i^*i \frac{1}{\Omega}i^*H$ is invertiable, namely $\mathcal{F}_{T \to \Omega}$ is injective.
- $R_n := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{mn}} (\imath^* H)^{mn}$ is small in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ under some assumption.

In the next lecture, we will:

- Prove |P| < 1 on T^c , which completes the proof of the main theorem.
- See related topics.

E. Candes, J. Romberg, and T. Tao.

Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information, 2004.